

From: j c <sirisfi@hotmail.com>
To: <wtc@nist.gov>
Subject: question concerning wtc 7

I was wondering if the nist found out who was on the other end of the phone conversation when Larry Silverstein made his famous "pull it" quote. I have looked into who the fire commander was that day but have not seen anything to suggest that he talked too larry Silverstein. I have searched though many firefighter oral histories concerning 911. I have also seen quotes where the fireman do not take orders from the public in regards to pulling a firefighting operation.

So my question is, who was on the other end of that phone call and has the nist talked with that person to verify Silversteins claims??

thanks
justin

Get more out of the Web. Learn 10 hidden secrets of Windows Live. [Learn Now](#)

From: j c <sisisfi@hotmail.com>
 To: <wtc@nist.gov>
 Subject: questions concering wtc 7 report

1. Deputy Fire Chief Hayden, said "one particular engineer" advised that collapse of WTC7 was a possibility. And gave them about 5 hours, and "he was pretty much right on the money, that he said in its current state, you have about 5 hours."
 Does the nist know this "engineers" name? Did the nist interview this engineer. Considering that it must have been around 12pm and the nist computer simulation didnt need the damage to make the building fall, how was it that this engineer called it "right on the money". No steel building has fallen due to fire. This was from this bbc conspiracy files concerning wtc 7.

<http://www.indymedia.org.uk/en/2008/07/404876.html>

2. We also have an eyewitness claiming there was a countdown to wtc 7. There are other stories out there that deal with the "countdown".

Does the nist know of a countdown. Will the nist investigate since eyewitness are coming out stating there was a countdown before the building fell.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=STbD9XMCOho>

3. Then we have Dr. Astaneh-Asl that states this piece of steel "vaporized". From the context, it seems that he thinks it "burned" first then buckled. He is linking "burn" with "vaporize". So that would mean the nist would need to look into molten metal before the collapse instead of just writing it off. Dr Barnett said that piece of steel that he said looked "evaporated" was the sample 1 from the fema bpat report. So im guessing that the piece of steel Dr. Astaneh-Asl saw was also similar to the pieces dr barnett saw.

One piece Dr. Astaneh-Asl saw was a charred horizontal I-beam from 7 World Trade Center, a 47-story skyscraper that collapsed from fire eight hours after the attacks. The beam, so named because its cross-section looks like a capital I, had clearly endured searing temperatures. Parts of the flat top of the I, once five-eighths of an inch thick, had vaporized. Less clear was whether the beam had been charred after the collapse, as it lay in the pile of burning rubble, or whether it had been engulfed in the fire that led to the building's collapse, which would provide a more telling clue. The answer lay in the beam's twisted shape. As weight pushed down, the center portion had buckled outward.

"This tells me it buckled while it was attached to the column," not as it fell, Dr. Astaneh-Asl said, adding, "It had burned first, then buckled."

<http://www.historycommons.org/context.jsp?item=a091901astanehfinds#a091901astanehfinds>

4. Dr. Astaneh-Asl got to the site 8 days after sept 11. The steel he saw looked "vaporized". Since he got there only 8 days after sept 11, then i would assume that the steel he saw was from the top of the debris pile. Does Dr. Sisson's explanation (slag consisting of iron, oxygen, and sulfur attacked the steel) account for this beam. Or could the fema bpat report summary that suggests "It is also possible that the phenomenon started prior to collapse and accelerated the weakening of the steel structure" be correct?

5. I am wondering how much steel was at the wtc 7 site that had the appearance of being "evaporated" as Dr barnett stated or "vaporized" as Dr. Astaneh-Asl stated. Note that when Dr Barnett speaks, he says "steel members". That is pleural.

Acombination of an uncontrolled fire and the structural damage might have been able to bring the building down, some engineers said. But that would not explain steel members in the debris pile that appear to have

been partly evaporated in extraordinarily high temperatures, Dr. Barnett said.

[http://query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?](http://query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?res=9E02E3DE143DF93AA15752C1A9679C8B63&sec=&spon=&pagewanted=all)

[res=9E02E3DE143DF93AA15752C1A9679C8B63&sec=&spon=&pagewanted=all](http://query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?res=9E02E3DE143DF93AA15752C1A9679C8B63&sec=&spon=&pagewanted=all)

6. Has steel from an office fire at first glance ever looked "evaporated" or "vaporized" before. Or showed the same characteristics of the wtc 7 sample from the fema bpat report?

7. Check out the nasa Images of the World Trade Center Site that Show Thermal Hot Spots on September 16 and 23, 2001. At the very end of the page, check out the pic where it shows "A" as a "HOT SPOT". Notice "A" looks like where column 79 is. Nist hypothesis revolves around column 79. Dr Sisson says that "slag" that consisted of iron, sulfur, and oxygen attacked the steel seen in the fema wtc 7 sample 1. Now, if column 79 got pulled out of the rubble and had signs of severe corrosion that looked "evaporated" or "vaporized", would the nist pursue what exactly caused this. From what ive read, the wtc fires were oxygen poor but very hot. And there is still no deffinate source for the sulfur except its maybe from diesel fuel or the wallboard.

<http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2001/ofr-01-0429/thermal.ro9.html>

8. Has the nist tested wallboard (sheetrock) to determine that that is the definat source for the elemental sulfur found in high concentrations that lead to the severe corrosion in the wtc 7 sample?

9. Molten metal came flowing out of the wtc. Look at the hole it leaves towards the end of the video. Looks bright yellow too me. Some think it is iron. Has the nist tried to recreate alluminum that looks like this? Check out how Dr Astaneh links yellow hot or white hot to melted steel. Again note that Dr. Astaneh-Asl got to the wtc site 8 days after it happened. This steel was probably on top of the debris pile.

Dr. Astaneh-Asl notes that steel has bent at several connection points that had joined the floors of the WTC to the vertical columns. He describes the connections as being smoothly warped, saying, "If you remember the Salvador Dali paintings with the clocks that are kind of melted—it's kind of like that." He adds, "That could only happen if you get steel yellow hot or white hot—perhaps around 2,000 degrees."

[http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-](http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-85483025283306640&ei=CnfBSL7ZCY7wqgKa89CjCQ&q=molten+metal+wtc+compilation&vt=lf&hl=en)

[85483025283306640&ei=CnfBSL7ZCY7wqgKa89CjCQ&q=molten+metal+wtc+compilation&vt=lf&hl=en](http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-85483025283306640&ei=CnfBSL7ZCY7wqgKa89CjCQ&q=molten+metal+wtc+compilation&vt=lf&hl=en)

10. Dr Jones has found what he believes to be thermite that appear as "red chips". Has the nist found or tested any "red chips" that he describes?

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-4186920967571123147>

11. Last, there is an article 'The Top Ten Connections Between NIST and Nano-Thermite' -- by Kevin Ryan. Has anyone that has experience with nano-thermite looked at and tested the sample 1 from the wtc ??

<http://www.indymedia.org.uk/en/2008/07/402772.html>

thanks

a concerned citizen

Stay up to date on your PC, the Web, and your mobile phone with Windows Live. [See Now](#)